

**Comment on "Breakdown of Bohr's correspondence principle"**

M. Apostol

Department of Theoretical Physics,  
 Institute of Atomic Physics,  
 Magurele-Bucharest MG-6,  
 POBox MG-35, Romania  
 email:apoma@theory.nipne.ro

**Abstract**

It is shown that the statement made by Bo Gao in Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 4225 (1999) regarding the breakdown of Bohr's correspondence principle for singular potentials is incorrect; it originates in a misinterpretation of the quasi-classical approximation, and the disregard of the short-range cut-off for such potentials.

In a recent letter[1] Bo Gao claims that the quasi-classical approximation and Bohr's correspondence principle break down for potentials having an attractive tail of the form  $-C_n/r^n$  for  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n > 2$ . This is an incorrect assertion, originating in a misinterpretation of Bohr-Sommerfeld's quantization rules, the overlooking of the finite threshold energy for the bound states, and the "fall-on-the-centre" phenomenon (for  $r \rightarrow 0$ ) specific to such singular potentials.

Bohr-Sommerfeld's quasi-classical quantization rules read

$$\oint p_r dr = \oint [2\mu(-|E| - U_l)]^{1/2} dr = 2\pi\hbar(v + 1/2) \quad , \quad (1)$$

for the radial motion of a particle of mass  $\mu$  in a central attractive potential  $V(r)$ , where

$$U_l = V(r) + \hbar^2 l(l+1)/2\mu r^2 \quad (2)$$

includes the centrifugal repulsion, and  $v$  denotes an integral (quantum) number. Equation (1) is valid for large values of the classical action as compared with Planck's constant  $\hbar$ , *i.e.* for large values of the quantum number  $v$ , and, consequently, for a large number of energy levels labelled by  $v$ ; it implies the geometrical-optics condition  $|d\lambda/dr| \ll 1$  ( $\lambda = 2\pi\hbar/p_r$ ) be satisfied over as large parts of the classical trajectory as possible, as well as the energy quanta  $\Delta|E| = \hbar\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the classical frequency, be much smaller than the kinetic energy  $E_r = p_r^2/2\mu$ . The geometrical-optics condition can also be written as

$$\pi\hbar |dU_l/dr| \ll p_r E_r \quad , \quad (3)$$

and it is violated near the turning points of the trajectory; however, within the quasi-classical approximation, the region where this condition breaks down is much smaller than the rest of the trajectory.[2]

Indeed, the bound-states spectrum of potentials behaving at infinite like  $-C_n/r^n$  where  $n > 2$  has a threshold of finite, non-vanishing energy  $-|E_0|$  (in contrast with the Coulomb potential); this

point is overlooked in Ref.1, in assessing the validity of the quasi-classical approximation; for any fixed  $l$  and a sufficiently large value of  $C_n$  the classical trajectory corresponding to this threshold energy has an outer turning point located at  $r_t \sim (C_n/|E_0|)^{1/n}$ , as for the  $s$ -states analyzed in Ref.1. An expansion around this turning point shows that the condition (3) is violated over a distance  $|r - r_t|$ , such that

$$|r - r_t|/r_t \sim (\pi^2 \hbar^2 / 2\mu n)^{1/3} C_n^{-2/3n} |E_0|^{(2-n)/3n} ; \quad (4)$$

one can see that  $|r - r_t|/r_t \ll 1$  for any finite value of  $E_0$  providing  $C_n$  is sufficiently large; therefore the quasi-classical approximation holds for the top of the spectrum, in contrast with the claim made in Ref.1. For large values of  $C_n$ , and of the effective potential  $U_l$ , an expansion of (1) in powers of  $|E|$  leads to

$$|E| = A_l(v_{\max} - v + B_l) \quad (5)$$

for the energy levels at the top of the spectrum (within the linear approximation), where

$$\begin{aligned} A_l^{-1} &= (4\pi\hbar)^{-1} \oint (-U_l/2\mu)^{-1/2} dr , \\ B_l &= (2\pi\hbar)^{-1} \oint (-2\mu U_l)^{1/2} dr - 1/2 - v_{\max} , \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and  $v_{\max}$  is the maximum value of the quantum number, corresponding to the threshold energy  $|E_{0l}| = A_l B_l$ ; one can see that  $B_l$  (which can be related to the number of levels) has large values, and the level inter-spacing  $A_l$  is small in comparison with the average kinetic energy; this inter-spacing is not a "universal" constant as claimed in Ref.1, but depends on the behaviour of the effective potential  $U_l$  over its entire range ( $U_l < 0$ ), including its short-range behaviour. This type of formulae had to be employed in Ref.1 in order to compare the quasi-classical approximation with quantum-mechanical calculations.

If the potential  $-C_n/r^n$  with  $n > 2$  is extended to  $r \rightarrow 0$  then the spectrum is not bounded from below and the particle "falls on the centre"; a large cut-off is then necessary to be imposed upon the potential, located at very short distances. The condition (3) is satisfied for  $r \rightarrow 0$ , so that the quasi-classical approximation may be extended to lower-energy levels, corresponding to states which are highly localized at the origin; the integral in (1) can now be estimated easily, as the main contribution to it comes from the small region around the origin; within the first approximation the integration may be limited to  $r_t \simeq (C_n/|E|)^{1/n}$ , but higher-order corrections are necessary for more accurate estimations; with the customary energy scale  $E = \alpha_n (\hbar^2/2\mu)^{n/(n-2)} C_n^{2/(2-n)} \varepsilon$ , where  $\alpha_n$  is a numerical parameter, one obtains

$$|\varepsilon|^{(n-2)/2n} = A_n [1 - (n-2)A_n/4n + \dots] (v_{\max} - v + \mu_D) , \quad (7)$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2} \pi (n-2) \alpha_n^{(2-n)/2n} \quad (8)$$

and  $\mu_D$  is a constant associated with the maximum value  $v_{\max}$  of the quantum number, and originating in the short-range cut-off imposed upon the potential for  $r \rightarrow 0$ . For  $n = 3$  and  $\alpha_n = 4$ , as in Ref.1, one obtains  $|\varepsilon|^{1/6} = 1.11(v_{\max} - v + \mu_D)$ , which is the formula[3] employed in Ref.1; for  $n = 6$  and  $\alpha_n = 16$  one gets  $|\varepsilon|^{1/6} \simeq 1.45(v_{\max} - v + \mu_D)$ , and higher-order corrections are necessary to (7) in order to get a more accurate value for the numerical coefficient (1.93 according to Ref. 3 and Ref.1); in agreement with Ref.1 (for  $s$ -states and  $n = 3$  and  $n = 6$ ), the quasi-classical approximation of the type given by (7), and Bohr's correspondence principle are validated by quantum-mechanical calculations for lower-energy levels, as expected; and the

level inter-spacing is indeed a "universal" constant now ( $\Delta(\varepsilon^{1/6}) = 1.11$ , for instance, for  $n = 3$ ); these levels corresponds to high quantum numbers  $v_{\max}$ , as compared with the "bottom" of the potential, and one can see that the short-range behaviour of the potential, and the corresponding cut-off, are essential for a consistent picture. However, the formula (7) is not applicable for the top of the spectrum, as misinterpreted in Ref.1; instead, formulae of the type given by (5) are appropriate in this case, with a proper short-range cut-off for the singular potential.

Finally, one may say that quasi-classical approximation and Bohr's correspondence principle hold, even for singular potentials of the form  $-C_n/r^n$  where  $n > 2$ , which exhibit the "fall-on-the-centre" phenomenon; however, these potentials are unphysical, and the realistic potentials which correspond to the photoassociative atomic spectroscopy exhibit a highly repulsive short-range part; in this case the quasi-classical approximation is described by formulae of the type given by (5) for the top of the spectrum.

## References

- [1] Bo Gao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 4225 (1999).
- [2] See, for instance, R. E. Langer, Phys. Rev. **51** 669 (1937), and Phys. Rev. **75** 1573 (1949).
- [3] R. J. LeRoy and R. B. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys. **52** 3869 (1970).