

On the empirical foundation of probability

M. Apostol

Department of Theoretical Physics,
 Institute of Atomic Physics,
 Magurele-Bucharest MG-6,
 POBox MG-35, Romania
 e-mail: apoma@theor1.ifa.ro

Abstract

It is shown that by testing an ensemble of n objects the probability can be determined with an error $\sim 1/\sqrt{n}$.

Suppose that we have N objects, out of which q have a certain feature that occurs with the probability p and the remaining $N - q$ have not that feature. Testing the whole ensemble of N objects the probability p will be given by the binomial distribution

$$f(p) = C_N^q \cdot p^q (1 - p)^{N-q} . \quad (1)$$

This function is positive and less than unity, because, for example,

$$\sum_{q=0}^N f(p) = 1 . \quad (2)$$

Its first derivative

$$f'(p) = C_N^q \cdot p^{q-1} (1 - p)^{N-q-1} (q - Np) \quad (3)$$

vanishes at

$$p_N = q/N \quad (4)$$

where its second derivative

$$f''(p) = C_N^q \cdot p^{q-2} (1 - p)^{N-q-2} [N(N-1)p^2 - 2N(N-1)p_N p + Np_N(Np_N - 1)] \quad (5)$$

is negative,

$$f''(p_N) = -N \cdot C_N^q \cdot p_N^{q-1} (1 - p_N)^{N-q-1} . \quad (6)$$

Using $n! \sim n^n$ for large n , it is easy to show that $f(p_N)$ goes to unity for q and N large enough, and

$$f''(p_N) \cong -\frac{N}{p_N(1 - p_N)} \rightarrow -\infty . \quad (7)$$

In addition, making use of (5), the second derivative vanishes at $p \cong p_N \pm \sqrt{p_N(1 - p_N)/N}$. For N large enough the distribution $f(p)$ is sharply peaked at $p = p_N$, where it approaches unity. One can say therefore that for large N the probability p is given by the empirical probability p_N with an error

$$\delta p \cong \sqrt{p_N(1 - p_N)/N} . \quad (8)$$

In practice it might often be inconvenient to test the whole ensemble of N objects, and one may wish to test only $n \ll N$; in which case one may ask what is the error made in assigning the empirical value $p_n = q_1/n$ to the probability p , or p_N . The value p_n occurs with the probability

$$f(p_n) = C_n^{q_1} \cdot p^{q_1} (1-p)^{n-q_1} \quad (9)$$

and we may restrict to $n < \min(q, N-q)$, such that $0 \leq q_1 \leq n$. Introducing

$$J(\alpha) = \sum_{q_1=0}^n C_n^{q_1} \cdot (\alpha p)^{q_1} (1-p)^{n-q_1} = (1-p + \alpha p)^n \quad (10)$$

it is easy to show that the average empirical probability is p ,

$$\overline{p_n} = \sum_{q_1=0}^n C_n^{q_1} \cdot \frac{q_1}{n} \cdot p^{q_1} (1-p)^{n-q_1} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{dJ}{d\alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=1} = p \quad , \quad (11)$$

and its spread is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(\delta p_n)^2} &= \sum_{q_1=0}^n C_n^{q_1} \cdot \left(\frac{q_1}{n} - p \right)^2 \cdot p^{q_1} (1-p)^{n-q_1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{d^2 J}{d\alpha^2} + \frac{dJ}{d\alpha} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1} - p^2 = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For fixed n and N large enough one may say that p and p_N are given by the empirical probability p_n with an error $\sim \sqrt{p_N(1-p_N)/2n}$, which is less accurate than testing the whole ensemble by a factor $\sqrt{N/2n}$. For n large enough but still smaller than N one can say that the error made in attributing to p_N the value p_n is

$$\delta p_n \cong \sqrt{p_n(1-p_n)/2n} \quad . \quad (13)$$