Journal of Theoretical Physics

Founded and Edited by M. Apostol

ISSN 1453-4428

Dynamics of electron-positron pairs in a vacuum polarized by an external radiation field

M. Apostol Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Atomic Physics, Magurele-Bucharest MG-6, POBox Mg-35, Romania email: apoma@theory.nipne.ro

Abstract

The polarization of the vacuum under the action of an external classical field of electromagnetic radiation is investigated in stationary regime. The electron-positron pairs interact both with the external field and with their own polarization field. For a macroscopic piece of vacuum the pairs are condensed on the low-momenta states and tend to form an electronpositron plasma of pairs localized in space. In the polarization process under the action of a classical field of radiation the electron-positron and photon dynamics can be treated by means of classical fields. Under these circumstances, the corresponding coupled non-linear equations of motion are solved. It is shown that the pair dynamics consists of quasi-stationary single-particle states localized in space, while the polarization field reduces to a static magnetic field. The single-particle energy due to a monochromatic external field is quasi-localized and exhibits a spatial distribution characteristic of a stationary wave. Both the pair energy and the polarization energy are computed. Their values are extremely small, even for highly focused, reasonably high, external fields. The number of pairs is determined by the external energy. Under the action of a classical field the polarized vacum gets magnetized, and the corresponding (very low) magnetic susceptibility (the refractive index of the vacuum) is computed.

Key words: electron-positron pairs; vacuum polarization; stationary regime; vacuum refractive index; high external fields

PACS: 03.50.De; 23.20.Ra; 31.30.J; 42.50.Xa; 42.65.Re; 42.50.Ct

Creation of electron-positron pairs from vacuum under the action of high external electromagnetic fields is a classical and still very attractive subject.[1]-[15] With the recent development of high-power laser pulses focused on very small spatial regions[16]-[27] the vacuum polarization could become soon a matter of experimental testing and routine measurements.

The annihilation of the electron-positron pairs in the polarized vacuum suggests a possible stationary regime, which may resemble a plasma of electron-positron pairs. This paper deals with the stationary dynamics of the polarized vacuum under the action of an external classical field of electromagnetic radiation. It is shown that the interaction of the electron-positron pairs with their own polarization field in a macroscopic piece of vacuum is mainly governed by the condensation of these pairs on low-momenta states. The pairs are quasi-localized in space. In the polarization process under the action of a classical radiation field the electron-positron and photon dynamics can be treated by means of classical fields. The corresponding coupled non-linear equations of

193 (2010)

motion are solved. The solutions exhibit a quasi-stationary regime, and the single-particle energies are computed. The corresponding polarization field is static, in the sense that the electric field is vanishing and only a static magnetic field is present. The polarized vacuum gets magnetized. Under the action of an external field (monochromatic plane wave), the single-particle energies are quasi-localized in space and acquire the shape of a stationary wave driven by the external field. The number of pairs, the pairs energy and the polarization energy are computed. The number of pairs is determined by the external field energy. The resulting values are extremely small, even for reasonably high external fields and energy densities. This is due, mainly, to the Compton wavelength of the electrons which is much smaller than the size of the space region over which the external energy is focused. The magnetic susceptibility is also evaluated (the refractive index) for the polarized vacuum, and, similarly, it is found to acquire very low values.

As it is well-known, the electromagnetic radiation field is described by the vector potential

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mu \mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar c^2}{V\omega_k}} \left[\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mu \mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^*(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mu \mathbf{k}}^* e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \right]$$
(1)

in the standard Fourier representation, with the transverse gauge $div\mathbf{A} = 0$, where c is the velocity of light, V is the volume, $\omega_k = ck$ is the frequency and $\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$ are the polarization vectors, $\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k} = 0$, $\mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{e}_{\nu}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = \pm 1$), $\mathbf{e}_{-\mu}(-\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{*}(\mathbf{k})$. The electric and magnetic field are given by $\mathbf{E} = -(1/c)\partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$ and, respectively, $\mathbf{H} = curl\mathbf{A}$, and three Maxwell's equations are satisfied: $curl\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\partial\mathbf{H}/\partial t$, $div\mathbf{H} = 0$, $div\mathbf{E} = 0$. The time dependence is included in the Fourier coefficients $a_{\mu\mathbf{k}}$, $a_{\mu\mathbf{k}}^{*}$ (photon annihilation and, respectively, creation operators).

The lagrangian of the radiation field

$$L_{f} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d\mathbf{r} \left(E^{2} - H^{2}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \mathbf{k}} \frac{\hbar}{4\omega_{k}} \left(\dot{a}_{\mu \mathbf{k}} + \dot{a}_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right) \left(\dot{a}_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}} + \dot{a}_{\mu\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right) -$$

$$- \sum_{\mu \mathbf{k}} \frac{\hbar\omega_{k}}{4} \left(a_{\mu\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right) \left(a_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}} + a_{\mu\mathbf{k}}^{*}\right)$$

$$(2)$$

leads to the equation of motion

$$\ddot{a}_{\mu\mathbf{k}} + \ddot{a}_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}}^{*} + \omega_{k}^{2} \left(a_{\mu\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mu-\mathbf{k}}^{*} \right) = 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

which is the fourth Maxwell's equation $curl \mathbf{H} = (1/c)\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$.

The standard Dirac field for electrons and positrons is written as

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma \mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon V}} \left(u_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{r}} + v_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} c^*_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{r}} \right) \quad , \tag{4}$$

where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4}$, *m* is the electron mass, $\sigma = \pm 1$ is the spin label and the bispinors $u_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$, $v_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$ are given by

$$u_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + mc^2} w_{\sigma} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon - mc^2} (\mathbf{n} \overrightarrow{\sigma}) w_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} , \ v_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon - mc^2} (\mathbf{n} \overrightarrow{\sigma}) w'_{\sigma} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon + mc^2} w'_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} ; \tag{5}$$

here $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p}/p$ is the unit vector along the momentum \mathbf{p} , $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$ denote the Pauli matrices and w_{σ} , $w'_{\sigma} = -\sigma_y w_{-\sigma}$ are normalized spinors, $w^*_{\sigma} w_{\sigma'} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$, $w'^*_{\sigma} w'^*_{\sigma} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$ (otherwise arbitrary). The notation * means transposition together with complex conjugation. In general, we use the

notations and conventions from Refs. [28, 29]. As it is well known, the free hamiltonian of the fermions reads

$$H_0 = \sum_{\sigma \mathbf{p}} \varepsilon \left(b^*_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} c^*_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \right) \quad , \tag{6}$$

which leads to the equation of motion $i\hbar \dot{b}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \varepsilon b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$, $i\hbar \dot{c}_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} = \varepsilon c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$ for the electron and positron destruction operators $b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$, $c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}$.

Making use of equations (1) and (4) we compute the interaction hamiltonian

$$H_{int} = -\frac{e}{c} \int d\mathbf{r} \psi^*(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{j} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \quad , \tag{7}$$

where -e is the electron charge and $\mathbf{j} = c \overrightarrow{\alpha}$ is the particle current,

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overrightarrow{\sigma} \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

being the Dirac α -matrices. The computation of the matrix elements of the current **j** between different electron-positron states involved in equation (7) is lengthy but straightforward. It is worth noting that the current density for interacting electrons (positrons) differs from the group velocity $c^2 \mathbf{p}/\varepsilon$ of the free electrons (positrons). The general form of the interaction matrix elements can be represented as $M^{\mu}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}')$. They contain the matrix elements $(\overrightarrow{\sigma})_{\sigma\sigma'} = w^*_{\sigma}\overrightarrow{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}$ of to the Pauli marices $\vec{\sigma}$. In general, the spinors may depend on the momenta **p** (as for helicities), such that $(\overline{\sigma})_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}') = w^*_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})\overline{\sigma}w_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}')$. It is important to note that there is an arbitrariness in these matrix elements, due to the arbitrariness in the spinors $w_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})$. The matrix $\overline{\sigma}$ is related to the polarization matrix of each elementary act of interaction, but the spinors do not reduce necessarily to the well-defined spin states in the rest frame, nor the vector $\vec{\sigma}$ reduces to the polarization vector measured usually in scattering experiments. In the interaction process neither the spin, nor the helicities are conserved, *i.e.* both are undetermined. There is no reason to have a "spin" dependence in the interaction, so we omit the spin label in the electron-positron operators and the polarization label in the photon operators. The interaction matrix elements can then be summed over the spin and polarization labels, $M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \sum_{\mu\sigma\sigma'} M^{\mu}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$. The general structure of the interaction hamiltonian is then given by

$$H_{int} = -ec \sum_{\mathbf{pk}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_k}} [A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) b^*_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) b^*_{\mathbf{p}} c^*_{-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} + B^*(-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) c_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + C(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{p}} c^*_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}] \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} + a^*_{-\mathbf{k}} \right) ,$$
(9)

where the coefficients A, B and C (the matrix elements $M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$) are given in **Appendix A**. The most general structure of the vector $\vec{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} w^*_{\sigma} \vec{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}$ is given in **Appendix B**. In accordance with our assumption that the interaction matrix elements should not depend on the "spin" orientation we take the mean value of this vector over all possible polarizations, and get $(\vec{\sigma})_{av} = 0$. This amounts to a statistical (uniform) average of the interaction hamiltonian over "spin" states. The coefficients A, B and C simplify then appreciably, and the interaction hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{int} = -ec \sum_{\mathbf{pk}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_k}} [A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})b_{\mathbf{p}}^* b_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})b_{\mathbf{p}}^* c_{-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}^* + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})c_{\mathbf{p}}b_{-\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})c_{\mathbf{p}}c_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}^*] \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^*\right) ,$$
(10)

where

$$A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}} \left[\mathbf{en} \sqrt{(\varepsilon - mc^2)(\varepsilon' + mc^2)} + \mathbf{en}' \sqrt{(\varepsilon + mc^2)(\varepsilon' - mc^2)} \right] ,$$

$$B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}} \{ e_y \sqrt{(\varepsilon + mc^2)(\varepsilon' + mc^2)} +$$

$$+ [(\mathbf{en})n'_y - (\mathbf{nn}')e_y + (\mathbf{en}')n_y] \sqrt{(\varepsilon - mc^2)(\varepsilon' - mc^2)} \}$$
(11)

and $\varepsilon' = \sqrt{c^2 p'^2 + m^2 c^4}$, $\mathbf{e} = \sum_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$. We emphasize the dependence on \mathbf{k} of the coefficients $A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ and $B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$, through the polarization vector \mathbf{e} . For brevity, we use notations like $\mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{k}$ for $\mathbf{p} \pm \hbar \mathbf{k}$. The interaction hamiltonian given by equation (10) contains electron-electron, positron-positron interactions (the terms with the coefficients $A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \mp \mathbf{k})$) and the creation and annihilation of pairs (the terms with the coefficients $B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{k})$). The corresponding equations of motion read

 $i\hbar \dot{b}_{\mathbf{p}} = \varepsilon_p b_{\mathbf{p}} -$

$$\ddot{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \ddot{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{*} + \omega_{k}^{2}(\ddot{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + \ddot{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{*}) = 2ec \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\omega_{k}}{\hbar V}} [A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})b_{\mathbf{p}}^{*}b_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})b_{\mathbf{p}}^{*}c_{-\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}}^{*} + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})c_{\mathbf{p}}b_{-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} + A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})c_{\mathbf{p}}c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}}^{*}]$$

$$(12)$$

and

$$-ec \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_{k}}} \left[A(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) b_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) c^{*}_{-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} \right] (a_{\mathbf{k}} + a^{*}_{-\mathbf{k}}) ,$$

$$i\hbar\dot{c}_{\mathbf{p}} = \varepsilon_{p}c_{\mathbf{p}} +$$

$$+ec \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_{k}}} \left[A(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} + B(-\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) b^{*}_{-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} \right] (a_{\mathbf{k}} + a^{*}_{-\mathbf{k}}) .$$
(13)

It is easy to check that the interaction hamiltonian given by equation (10) conserves the charge $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (b_{\mathbf{p}}^* b_{\mathbf{p}} - c_{\mathbf{p}}^* c_{\mathbf{p}})$. This is the standard framework (not manifestly covariant) provided by the quantum electrodynamics for an ensemble of interacting electrons, positrons and photons. For the creation and annihilation of electron-positron pairs in the process of polarization of a piece of macroscopic vacuum we adopt here a special route.

In general, the states of interacting fermions with spin one-half are admixtures of empty ($|0\rangle$) and occupied ($|1\rangle$) states. The creation and destruction operators can then be expressed by one scalar. For instance, let $|s\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$ be such a state, with coefficients α , β . The destruction operator b has only one non-vanishing matrix element, $\langle 0|b|s\rangle = \beta$, or $\langle s|b|1\rangle = \alpha$. For definiteness, we choose $\langle 0|b|s\rangle = \beta$. The occupation number is given by $\langle s|b^*b|s\rangle = |\beta|^2$. Since the states $|s\rangle$ for an ensemble of interacting fermions are not, in general, well-defined single-particle states, $|\beta|^2$ is not subjected to the restriction $|\beta|^2 \leq 1$. Consequently, we can take such matrix elements in the first equation (13), which amounts to work with fermionic amplitudes which are *c*-numbers, instead of operators. These amplitudes can be viewed as classical fields. The charge conservation Q = 0 for pairs suggests the replacement

$$b_{\mathbf{p}} \to \beta_{\mathbf{p}} \ , \ c^*_{-\mathbf{p}} \to \beta_{\mathbf{p}} \ ,$$
 (14)

in accordance with the particle-hole symmetry. Similarly, we replace the photon operators by c-numbers,

$$a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^* \to A_{\mathbf{k}} \quad , \tag{15}$$

where $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ are viewed as classical fields. As it is well known, this amounts to employ coherent states and a coherent interaction of matter and radiation.[30] Then, the interaction hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{int} = -2ec \sum_{\mathbf{pk}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_k}} B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) \beta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} \quad , \tag{16}$$

and the equations of motion read

$$\ddot{A}_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega_k^2 A_{\mathbf{k}} = 4ec \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\omega_k}{\hbar V}} B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \beta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} ,$$

$$i\hbar \dot{\beta}_{\mathbf{p}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \beta_{\mathbf{p}} - 2ec \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{V\omega_k}} B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) \beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} .$$
(17)

We can see that the scattering of individual electrons (or positrons) disappears from the interaction hamiltonian (the terms with the A-coefficients in equation (10)), and the interaction is determined by the vacuum polarization (creation and annihilation of pairs), as expected. The product $\beta_{\mathbf{p}}^*\beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}}$ in the interaction hamiltonian can also be viewed as corresponding to the excitation (and disexcitation) of an ensemble of particles, each with two energy levels (labelled by \mathbf{p} and $-\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}$), the levels corresponding to positive and, respectively, negative energy states. This latter feature is incorporated in the structure of the *B*-coefficients. As it is well-known, such an ensemble of particles can be excited (polarized) in a stationary regime by an external classical field of radiation, which pumps energy in the ensemble, resembling to some extent the laser effect. We note also that the product $\beta_{\mathbf{p}}^*\beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}$ appearing in the *rhs* of the first equation (17) for the electromagnetic field is related to the medium polarization (more exactly to the polarization current).

For reasonable energies we may limit ourselves to $p, \hbar k < p_0 \ll mc$, where p_0 is a momentum cutoff, and expand the coupling coefficients $B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{k})$ in powers of \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{k} . Similarly, we approximate $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ in equations (17) by $\varepsilon_0 = mc^2$. For such small values of the momenta the angular dependence of the coupling function $B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k})$ is irrelevant for the qualitative behaviour of the solutions of the system of equations (17). The structure of this system shows that the relevant coupling function is the product $B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})$. Averaging over \mathbf{p} we get

$$b = \left[\overline{B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})B(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k})}\right]^{1/2} = \frac{p_0^2}{\sqrt{35}m\varepsilon_0} .$$
(18)

The constant b plays the role of an effective coupling coefficient. Introducing the coupling constant $g_k = 2ecb\sqrt{2\pi/V\hbar\omega_k}$, the system of equations (17) becomes

$$\ddot{A}_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega_k^2 A_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\omega_k g_k \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \beta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} ,$$

$$i\dot{\beta}_{\mathbf{p}} = \Omega \beta_{\mathbf{p}} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g_k \beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} ,$$
(19)

where $\Omega = \varepsilon_0/\hbar$. It is easy to see that equations (19) are solved by the Fourier transforms

$$\beta_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \beta(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{r}} , \ \beta(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \beta_{\mathbf{p}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{r}} .$$
(20)

The number of pairs is given by

$$N = 4\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left|\beta_{\mathbf{p}}\right|^2 = \frac{4}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \left|\beta(\mathbf{r})\right|^2 ; \qquad (21)$$

we have also

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \beta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \left| \beta(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} .$$
(22)

The solution is immediately given by

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = B(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\Omega t + i\int^t dt'\lambda(\mathbf{r},t')} , \qquad (23)$$

where $\lambda(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}$; $B(\mathbf{r})$ is a "constant" of integration, given by

$$N = \frac{4}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \left| B(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 \,. \tag{24}$$

From equation (23) we can see that the pair dynamics is quasi-stationary, in the sense that it conserves the "occupation" number $|\beta(\mathbf{r})|^2 = |B(\mathbf{r})|^2$. Similarly, from the first equation (19) and equation (22), we can see that the polarization field does not depend on the time, so we get

$$A_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{2g_k}{\omega_k} \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} |B(\mathbf{r})|^2 e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} ; \qquad (25)$$

it follows

$$\lambda(\mathbf{r},t) = \lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{2g_k^2}{\omega_k} \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r}' \left| B(\mathbf{r}') \right|^2 e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r})}$$
(26)

and

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = B(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\Omega t + i\lambda(\mathbf{r})t} .$$
⁽²⁷⁾

The single-particle energy $\hbar\Omega - \hbar\lambda(\mathbf{r})$ has a spatial dependence, reflecting the local force exerted on the pairs by the polarization field. If one assumes the pairs confined to a spatial region of finite extent, we can see that this force tends to localize the pairs in that region, as expected.

It is reasonably to assume that the pairs are distributed uniformly in space, *i.e.* $B(\mathbf{r}) = B = \sqrt{N/2}$. This amounts to a condensation of the fermions on the $\mathbf{p} = 0$ state; in fact, the pairs are distributed ("condensed") over the low-momenta fermionic states. The field $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ (equation (25)), and the single-particle energy λ (equation (26)) exhibit a singularity for $k \to 0$, as expected for such an infinite uniform distribution. In practice, the pairs are distributed quasi-uniformly in space over a region of finite linear size d, so we may take $A_{\mathbf{k}} \simeq g_k N/2\omega_k$ for $k < k_0 = 1/d$. The single-particle energy becomes

$$-\hbar\lambda \simeq -\frac{2e^2b^2}{\pi d}N \quad , \tag{28}$$

and equation (20) gives $\beta_{\mathbf{p}} = Be^{-i\Omega t + i\lambda t}$ for $\mathbf{p} \to 0$. From the conservation of the number of particles

$$N = 4\sum_{\mathbf{p}} |\beta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 = 4B^2 \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3 \hbar^3} \frac{4\pi p_0^3}{3} = 4B^2$$
(29)

we get the momentum cutoff $p_0/\hbar = (6\pi^2)^{1/3}/d$, which is of the order of 1/d, as expected. It is worth noting to see now the coupling coefficient b given by equation (18),

$$b = \frac{p_0^2}{\sqrt{35}m\varepsilon_0} = \frac{(6\pi^2)^{2/3}}{\sqrt{35}} \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^2 \quad , \tag{30}$$

where $\lambda_c = \hbar/mc$ is the electron Compton wavelength. Since $\lambda_c \simeq 0.3 \times 10^{-10} cm$, we can see that the coupling coefficient *b* acquires an extremely small value. The single-particle energy given by equation (28) can be written as

$$-\hbar\lambda \simeq -\frac{2e^2b^2}{\pi d}N = -\frac{12}{35}(6\pi^2)^{1/3}\frac{e^2}{d}\left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^4 N \quad , \tag{31}$$

which is extremely small. It is worth noting the occurrence of the Coulomb energy e^2/d of an electron localized in a spatial region of linear size d.

Making use of equation (1), we can compute the magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = curl \mathbf{A}$ and the electromagnetic energy E_{em} stored by the polarization field $A_{\mathbf{k}} \simeq g_k N/2\omega_k$ for $k < k_0 = 1/d$. We get

$$E_{em} = \frac{2e^2b^2}{\pi d}N^2 = \frac{12}{35}(6\pi^2)^{1/3}\frac{e^2}{d}\left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^4 N^2 .$$
(32)

The number of pairs can be obtained from the conservation of energy

$$E_{em} + 2mc^2 N = \frac{12}{35} (6\pi^2)^{1/3} \frac{e^2}{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^4 N^2 + 2mc^2 N = W \quad , \tag{33}$$

where W is the total energy and we have neglected the single-particle energy $-\hbar\lambda$. It is easy to see that the N²-term brings an extremely small contribution (due to the fourth power of the ratio $\lambda_c/d \ll 1$), so the number of pairs is given by $N \simeq W/2mc^2$. For a numerical reference, we can take W = 1J and get $N \simeq 10^{13}$ pairs. We can see that the pairs number does not depend practically on the size of the spot where the energy is concentrated.

It is also worth commenting upon the solutions

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = Be^{-i\Omega t + i\lambda t} \tag{34}$$

(for r < d). According to equation (23) they represent the single-particle eigenstates. We can see that they correspond to electrons (positrons) localized in space. We can divide the space in small, identical cells of volume $v \ll V$, and write the number of particles (equation (24)) as

$$N = \frac{4}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \left| B(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 = \frac{4v}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} B^2 = \frac{vN}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} 1 \quad , \tag{35}$$

whence one can see that the "occupation" number in each cell of volume v is unity, as for fermions. This substantiates the picture of electrons (positrons) localized in space and represented by fields given by equation (34).

We can see that the vacuum can be polarized with electron-positron pairs, which create a polarization field and acquire an additional $-\hbar\lambda$ energy for each electron (positron). Even for very high energy densities the number of pairs, the polarization energy and the single-particle energies are extremely small. Comparing the first equation (19) with the classical wave equation $\partial^2 \mathbf{A}/\partial t^2 - c^2 \Delta \mathbf{A} = 4\pi c \mathbf{j}$, where \mathbf{j} is the density of the polarization current, we get

$$j(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{ecb}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \beta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} = \frac{4ecb}{V^2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left| B(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \simeq$$

$$\simeq \frac{ecb}{V} N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{35}} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{ec}{V} \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^2 N , \ k < 1/d ,$$
(36)

for the Fourier transform of the current density (for one polarization). This is a very small current density.

We introduce now a (polarized) external field $A_{\mathbf{k}_0}^{ext} = A_{-\mathbf{k}_0}^{ext} = A_0 = 2a_0 \cos \omega_0 t$ (monochromatic wave), with the frequency $\omega_0 = ck_0$. The second equation (19) becomes

$$i\dot{\beta}_{\mathbf{p}} = \Omega\beta_{\mathbf{p}} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g_k \beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{k}} - g_0 (\beta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_0} + \beta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}_0}) A_0 \quad , \tag{37}$$

where $g_0 = 2ecb\sqrt{2\pi/V\hbar\omega_0}$. The solution is given by

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = B(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\Omega t + i\lambda t + i\varphi(\mathbf{r},t)} , \qquad (38)$$

where

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{4g_0 a_0}{\omega_0} \sin \omega_0 t \cos \mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{r} .$$
(39)

This phase implies a localized energy

$$\delta\varepsilon(\mathbf{r},t) = -4\hbar g_0 a_0 \cos\omega_0 t \cos\mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{r} = -2\hbar g_0 a_0 \left[\cos(\mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t) + \cos(\mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{r} + \omega_0 t)\right] , \qquad (40)$$

for the electron-positron pairs, which appears as a stationary wave driven by the external field. It is worth noting that, in contrast with the polarization energy $-\hbar\lambda$ given by equation (31), which is quadratic in the coupling coefficient *b*, the energy caused by the external field is linear in *b*, as expected. It is convenient to estimate the mean value of this energy by making use of the external field energy $W_0 = 2\hbar\omega_0 |a_0|^2$. We get straightforwardly

$$\overline{\delta\varepsilon} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{35}} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{c}{\omega_0 d} \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{e^2 W_0}{d}} , \qquad (41)$$

which, even for reasonably high energy densities, is still a very low energy. The energy of the external field is distributed over the energy of the polarization field (which is very low) and the energy of the pairs, according to equation (33). It is worth noting that the above results are sensitive to decreasing d (except for the number of pairs), so we can enhance the relevant values by the focalization of the energy in very small volumes. However, for usually available energies this enhancement is still insufficient for getting any appreciable result.

The external field induces a polarization field which is stationary (the vector potential does not depend on the time), as a consequence of the stationary dynamics of the electrons and positrons. Therefore, the polarization electric field is vanishing, and we are left only with a static magnetic field. Under the action of an external field the vacuum gets magnetized. The corresponding vector potential of the polarization field is given by $A_0^{pol} = g_0 N/2\omega_0$, according to the discussion made above. This polarization field depends on the strength A_0 of the external field through the field energy W_0 which generates the number of pairs N. Consequently, we can define a static magnetic susceptibility of the polarized vacuum. We get straightforwardly the magnetic permeability

$$\mu = 1 + \frac{eb}{4mc\omega_0}H_0 = 1 + \frac{(6\pi^2)^{2/3}}{4\sqrt{35}} \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{d}\right)^2 \frac{eH_0}{mc\omega_0} \quad , \tag{42}$$

where it is worth noting the linear dependence on the strength H_0 of the external magnetic field. As expected, the vacuum polarized under the action of an external field, acquires a (very small, static) magnetic susceptibility, and, consequently, a refractive index $n = \sqrt{\mu}$ (slightly greater than unity). It is worth noting in equation (42) the ratio of the magnetic energy (Bohr magneton in the magnetic field H_0) to the energy quanta $\hbar\omega_0$ of the external field.

In conclusion, we may say that the vacuum gets polarized with electron-positron pairs under the action of an external classical field of electromagnetic radiation. The polarization field is static,

i.e. the electric field is vanishing and the vacuum sustains only a static magnetic field. The corresponding magnetic permeability (the refractive index of the vacuum) has been computed for an external monochromatic wave. The electron-positron pairs are condensed on low-momenta states and exhibit a quasi-stationary dynamics. They acquire a single-particle energy, which is quasi-localized in space as a stationary wave driven by the monochromatic external field. The number of pairs are determined by the external energy, while the single-particle energies and the energy of the polarization field depend on the energy density of the external field. All these numerical results are extremely small, even for reasonably high external energies and energy densities. An important role in the magnitude of these effects is played by the Compton wavelength of the electron, which is very small in comparison with the extent of the spatial region over which we can concentrate the energy of the external field. The results presented here have been derived by treating the electron-positron and photon dynamics by means of classical fields, a procedure justified by the polarization process, which implies continuous creation and annihilation of electron-positron pairs under the action of a classical field of radiation, resembling a plasma of electron-positron pairs. The coupled non-linear equations of motion have been solved for these fields, and the solution led to the results described above.

Appendix A: The coefficients A, B and C in equation (9)

Making use of the bi-spinor definition (equations (5)) and the algebra of the Pauli spin matrices (in particular $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} + i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$, where ε_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric tensor of rank 3), the coefficients A, B and C appearing in the interaction hamiltonian (equation (9)) can be computed straightforwardly (leaving aside the spin dependence in the electron-positron operators). They are given by

$$\begin{split} A(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}} \{ \sqrt{(\varepsilon - mc^2)(\varepsilon' + mc^2)} \left[2\mathbf{e}\mathbf{n} - i \overrightarrow{\overline{\sigma}} (\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{n}) \right] + \\ &+ \sqrt{(\varepsilon + mc^2)(\varepsilon' - mc^2)} \left[2\mathbf{e}\mathbf{n}' + i \overrightarrow{\overline{\sigma}} (\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{n}') \right] \} \;, \end{split}$$

$$B(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}} \{ \sqrt{(\varepsilon + mc^2)(\varepsilon' + mc^2)} [2e_y + i(\mathbf{e} \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y] + \sqrt{(\varepsilon - mc^2)(\varepsilon' - mc^2)} [2(\mathbf{en})n'_y - 2(\mathbf{nn}')e_y + 2(\mathbf{en}')n_y - i(\mathbf{en})(\mathbf{n}' \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y + in'_y \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{e} \times \overrightarrow{\sigma}) + i(\mathbf{e}\overrightarrow{\sigma})(\mathbf{n}' \times \mathbf{n})_y + i(\mathbf{n}\overrightarrow{\sigma})(\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{n}')_y] \}$$

$$\begin{split} C(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}') &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}} \{ \sqrt{(\varepsilon - mc^2)(\varepsilon' + mc^2)} [2\mathbf{en} - i\overline{\sigma}_y(\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{n})_y - \\ &- in_y(\mathbf{e} \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y + ie_y(\mathbf{n} \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y] + \\ &+ \sqrt{(\varepsilon + mc^2)(\varepsilon' - mc^2)} [2(\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{e}) + i\overline{\sigma}_y(\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{n}')_y + \\ &+ in'_y(\mathbf{e} \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y - ie_y(\mathbf{n}' \times \overrightarrow{\sigma})_y] \} \ , \end{split}$$
where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4}, \ \varepsilon' = \sqrt{c^2 p'^2 + m^2 c^4}, \ \mathbf{e} = \sum_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \ \text{and} \ \overrightarrow{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} w^*_{\sigma} \overrightarrow{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}. \end{split}$

Appendix B: The vector $\overline{\vec{\sigma}}$

The most general form of the spinor $w_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p})$ is

 $w_{+1} = e^{-i\varphi}\cos\theta u + e^{i\varphi}\sin\theta v ,$ $w_{-1} = -e^{-i\varphi}\sin\theta u + e^{i\varphi}\cos\theta v ,$

where u, v are the eigenvectors of the Pauli matrix $\sigma_z \ (\sigma_z u = u, \sigma_z v = -v)$ and the angles θ, φ , corresponding to the wavevector \mathbf{p} , are arbitrary; for \mathbf{p}' (in $w_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p}')$) we denote these angles by θ', φ' . It is worth noting that, in spite of some resemblance, these spinors are not those related to the helicities. The calculations of the vector $\overline{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} w^*_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) \overline{\sigma} w_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}')$ is then straightforward. We get

$$\overline{\sigma}_x = 2i\sin(\varphi - \varphi')\sin(\theta - \theta') + 2\cos(\varphi - \varphi')\cos(\theta + \theta') ,$$

$$\overline{\sigma}_y = 2i\cos(\varphi - \varphi')\sin(\theta - \theta') + 2\sin(\varphi - \varphi')\cos(\theta + \theta') ,$$

$$\overline{\sigma}_z = -2i\sin(\varphi + \varphi')\cos(\theta - \theta') - 2\cos(\varphi + \varphi')\sin(\theta + \theta') .$$

It is worth noting that $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$ is a complex vector, which depends on four parameters (the angles $\varphi, \varphi', \theta, \theta'$), as expected for the polarization of an ensemble of two fermions of spin 1/2. Indeed, we have a polarization vector for one fermion, relative to the direction of the polarization vector of the other fermion, *i.e.* 3 parameters, and another parameter for the magnitude of the former polarization vector. We can have various choices for $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$, for instance we may take it perpendicular to the two wavevectors \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{p}' (which amouns to four equations with four unknowns). We can also write $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$ as $\overrightarrow{\sigma} = 2i\mathbf{s}_1 + 2\mathbf{s}_2$, where $\mathbf{s}_{1,2}$ are two real, linearly independent vectors, and take \mathbf{s}_1 parallel with \mathbf{p} (in which case we are left with only one free parameter). None of such choices brings an appreciable simplification in the interaction matrix elements, and, in fact, any particular choice is arbitrary. The only meaningfull procedure is the averaging over angles, which gives $(\overrightarrow{\sigma})_{av} = 0$, as for unpolarized elementary interaction acts. This assumption is equivalent to a uniform statistical average of the interaction with respect to the "spin" polarization, as noted in the main text. We note that such an average is consistent with the classical treament of the interaction given here.

References

- [1] F. Sauter, Uber das Verhalten eines Elektrons im homogenen elektrischen Feld nach der relativistischen Theorie Diracs, Z. Phys. **69** 742-764 (1931).
- W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Folgerungen aus der Diracschen Theorie des Positrons, Z. Phys. 98 714-732 (1936).
- [3] J. Schwinger, On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization, Phys. Rev. 82 664-679 (1951).
- [4] A. Ringwald, Pair production from vacuum at the focus on an X-ray free-electron laser, Phys, Lett. B510 107-116 (2001).
- [5] R. Alkofer, M. B. Hecht, C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt and D. V. Vinnik, Pair creation and an X-ray free-electron laser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 193902 (2001) (1-4).

- [6] V. S. Popov, On Schwinger mechanism of e^+e^- pair production from vacum by the field of optical and X-ray lasers, Phys. Lett. **A298** 83-90 (2002).
- [7] H. K. Avetissian, A. K. Avetissian, G. F. Mkrtchian and Kh. V. Sedrakian, Electron-positron pair production in the field of superstrong oppositely directed laser beams, Phys. Rev. E66 016502 (2002) (1-4).
- [8] C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt and D. V. Vinnik, Quantum effects with an X-ray free-electron laser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 153901 (2002) 1-4).
- [9] A. Di Piazza, K. Z. Hatsagortsian and C. H. Keitel, Harmonic generation from laser-driven vacuum, Phys. Rev. D72 085005 (2005) (1-23).
- [10] D. B. Blaschke, A. V. Prozorkevich, C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt and S. A. Smolynsky, Pair production and optical lasers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 140402 (2006) (1-4).
- [11] R. Schutzhold, H. Gies and G. Dunne, Dynamically assisted Schwinger mechanism, Phys. Rev. Let. 101 130404 (2008) (1-4).
- [12] A. R. Bell and J. G. Kirk, Possibility of prolific pair production with high-power lasers, Phys. Rev. Let. 101 200403 (2008) (1-4).
- [13] G. V. Dunne, H. Gies and R. Schutzhold, Catalysis of Schwinger vacuum pair production, Phys. Rev. D80 111301R (2009) (1-5).
- [14] V. N. Baier and V. M. Katkov, Pair creation by a photon in an electric field, arXiv:hep-ph /0912.5250 (2009).
- [15] C. K. Dumlu, Schwinger vacuum pair production in chirped laser pulses, Phys. Rev. D82 045007 (2010) (1-8).
- [16] G. A. Mourou, T. Tajima and S. V. Bulanov, Optics in the relativistic regime, Revs. Mod. Phys. 78 309-371 (2006).
- [17] E. Esarey, S. B. Schroeder and W. Leemans, Physics of laser-driven plasma-based electron accelerators, Revs. Mod. Phys. 81 1229-1285 (2009).
- [18] S. P. D. Mangles, C. D. Murphy, Z. Najmudin, A. G. R. Thomas, J. R. Collier, A. E. Dangor, E. J. Divall, P. S. Foster, J. G. Gallacher, C. J. Hooker, D. A. Jaroszynski, A. J. Langley, W. B. Mori, P. A. Norreys, F. S. Tsung, R. Viskup, B. R. Walton and K. Krushelnick, Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laser-plasma interactions, Nature 431 535-538 (2004).
- [19] C. G. R. Geddes, Cs. Toth, J. van Tilborg, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, D. Bruhwiler, C. Nieter, J. Cary and W. P. Leemans, High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding, Nature 431 538-541 (2004)
- [20] J. Faure, Y. Glinec, A. Pukhov, S. Kiselev, S. Gordienko, E. Levebvre, J.-P. Rousseau, F. Burgy and V. Malka, A laser-plasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams, Nature 431 541-544 (2004).
- [21] W. P. Leemans, B. Nagler, A. J. Gonsalves, Cs. Toth, K. Nakamura, C. G. R. Geddes, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder and S. M. Hooker, *GeV* electron beams from a centimetre-scale acelerator, Nature Phys. 2 696-699 (2006).

- [22] J. Faure, C. Rechatin, A. Norlin, A. Lifschitz, Y. Glinec and V. Malka, Controlled injection and acceleration of electrons in plasma wakefields by colliding laser pulses, Nature 444 737-739 (2006).
- [23] C. G. R. Geddes, K. Nakamura, G. R. Plateau, Cs. Toth, E. Cormier-Michel, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, J. R. Cary and W. P. Leemans, Plasma-density-gradient injection of low absolute-momentum-spread electron bunches, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** 215004 (2008) (1-4).
- [24] C. Rechatin, J. Faure, A. Ben-Ismail, J. Lim, R. Fitour, A. Specka, H. Videau, A. Tafzi, F. Burgy and V. Malka, Controlling the phase-space volume of injected electrons in a laserplasma accelerator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** 164801 (2009) (1-4).
- [25] S. F. Martins, R. A. Fonseca, W. Lu, W. B. Mori and L. O. Silva, Exploring laser-wakefieldaccelerator regimes for near-term lasers using particle-in-cell simulation in Lorentz boosted frames, Nature Phys. 6 311-316 (2010).
- [26] A. Giulietti, N. Bourgeois, T. Ceccotti, X. Davoine, S. Dobosz, P. D'Oliveira, M. Galimberti, J. Galy, A. Gamucci, D. Giulietti, L. A. Gizzi, D. J. Hamilton, E. Lefebvre, L. Labate, J. R. Marques, P. Monat, H. Popescu, F. Reau, G. Sarri, P. Tomassini and P. Martin, Intense γ-ray source in the giant-dipole-resonance range driven by 10 – Tw laser pulses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 105002 (2008) (1-4).
- [27] A. G. Mordovanakis, J. Easter, N. Naumova, K. Popov, P.-E. Masson-Laborde, B. Hou, I. Sokolov, G. Mourou, I. V. Glazyrin, W. Rozmus, V. Bychenkov, J. Nees and K. Krushelnick, Quasimonoenergetic electron beams with relativistic energies and ultrashort duration from laser-solid interactions at 0.5 kHz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** 235001 (2009) (1-4).
- [28] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 4, Quantum Electrodynamics, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford (2002).
- [29] F. Dyson, Advanced Quantum Mechanics, World Scientific, Singapore (2007).
- [30] R. J. Glauber, Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field, Phys. Rev. 131 2766-2788 (1963).

[©] J. Theor. Phys. 2010, apoma@theor1.theory.nipne.ro