Modern Ways of doing Research The Plagues of our Society

M Apostol April 2007

Magurele-Bucharest

Science and Technology (ST) changed profoundly our Life

Mental level: relativism, indeterminacy, utilitarism, manipulation and domination

Social and Political Changes + Incontrolable Economic Activity

ST is in a great Peril caused by Profound Misconceptions

"World is a matter of Will and Representation" (Schopenhauer)

1st Misconception: fulfill immediately any need or desire

ST is Autonomous, Free and Universal Its own Problems, of Spiritual, Intellectual Nature (not Physical!)

"To accommodate in the most economical way our sensations to our ideas, essential in the fight for survival" (Planck)

Wonder: Practical applications, through Technology?

2nd Misconception: Big Institutions, Large Collaborations

History: Newton, Maxwell, Boltzmann, Einstein, etc One idea - One Man

The Many: the fuel in the ST Furnace

In ST Democracy is non-sense The ST is Aristocracy

Democracy prevails today in ST and Destroys it Systematically Majority dominated, manipulated, terrified by ChairPersons

Big insts generate constraints, oppression, through bureacracy

Free, Independent, Critical Opinions Banished

"They do not know what they know and whar they do not" (Socrates)

Who are the Aristocrats in ST?

Those who speak freely, universally, critically

Sense of Honour: Honesty and Lucidity

The only Way to ensure the Competence in ST

3rd Misconception: Publications

The output of ST: ST Results; Spiritual, Intellectual Objects (not Physical)

Publications: a Natural Means of doing ST but a Means not an End!

"Publish or perish" diverts the attention from ST to the Number of Publications

Secret Societies; "Anonymous peer review"
"Main Stream" Funded;
Outsiders (Blacklisted!) not; Citations and "impact factor"

The Secret of the ST Secret Societies: Vicious Self-Perpetuating Circle of Money

Sad Record of the MainStream Journals Rejected Einstein, Schwinger, Fermi, Feynman from publ

Many withdrawals of published papers: internal fights, criminal mobs?

"...claims of novelty (which we discourage)...", Editorial Policies, Phys Rev A, 2006

Example of Sommerfeld: Zeit Phys

Define the impact factor Nr of cits/Nr of declared-users sold copies

Completely different picture; A "Mean Stream"

It is not difficult to have an ISI publication today

How? Very easy!

Six Rules

- 1 Combine yourself with the right, influential people
- 2 Get into such cooperations and cooperatives
- 3 Abdicate from any honesty in doing research
- 4 Compile, copy-paste, doctorate the data
- 5 Cite big shots, as irrelevant as they are; increase the IF of the journal; They cite you in return
- 6 Take part in passing the research money around the vicious circle

Bring the money back into Romania (from EU, etc); Estorcator, Recuperator? Criminal Mobs

4th Misconception: The Funding

Self-sustained, like any commercial activity: non-sense

ST "products" are oferred freely; no market

Project Funding, "Competition" Basis
No salaries, occasional, temporary, iregular income
Fragmentation of research

Corruption, "Lobby and Lottery"

Univ Profs no Teaching, just Research! Explain the degraded state of ST Education everywhere

Private Companies; Private Institutions of Research
Naive Misconception
Examples: Kavli, Perimeter
Image and Entertainment, "Services to Society"
– Nice, but Pseudo-Science

ST Research funding provided by society
Regular, Direct Funds
Through Gov Agencies
Accountability; according to the ST Nature
(No Physical Objects, No Democracy,
No Big Insts&Collabs, No "Services to Society",
No Image and Publicity, No abuse,
No "Main Stream" and "Impact Factor")
Risky enterprise?
It depends on our competence

Dr Rabounski's Declaration of the Academic Freedom

Freedom of:

Research subject

Research methods

Collaboration

Disagreement

Publication

Affiliation

Information

How to appreciate a scientific publication?

First, one must have one's own results in one field of research in order to exercise appreciation

Second, one's own original result (not with others, other places)

Third, one result – one paper (2,3 and stop)

Fourth, one paper – one author (2; 3 or more, something fishy)

Fourth, journal does not matter; Romanian Journals are quite good

A Practical way

Go around, ask knowledgeable people to give you a recommendation, an appreciation of your work

Go to the Exam Commission, etc, with these recs

What we have and what we havent

We have managers They deal in windowpans, grit stone, faience and holes in walls

After their Minister's profession

We have businessmen dealing inside the Institute
We have paid members of other organizations dealing inside

We have stray dogs

But we havent Scientific Personalities; Have we? This is why we use automatic rules and criteria, and majority's vote

This is a LIE, which serves to manipulate and dominate People

Scientometry in Romania was inforced politically upon us by 4-5 people

Do you want their names; or their careers?

I am usually told that "We dont know, dont know how to estimate, evaluate, etc That is why we invent rules"

This is CRAP TALKING!

My answer is "Step aside if you do not know"

Curious is that they "dont know" but "know" how to make rules "to know"!

Where is the Science?

We have GRIDS – Good, but no Science (They are Unclosing DO loops)
We have Services to Society – Good, but no Science We have Image, High ISI Rate, etc, - Good, but no Science We'll have a Technological Park - Good, but no Science We have Big Shots cooperations – Good, but no Science We have Committees, Commissions, Big Bureacracy, We are wasting a lot of money – Bad, and no Science

We have a lot of Impostors in Romanian Research

People who never did their own research, Young or Old, want to be Ministers of Research, Managers, Projects Leaders, Departments Heads, Departments Deputy Heads, ex-approximate Students, International Failures are Seminar Conveners

They decide upon Personnel, approve the Research Reports, Mobilities, Visitors, spent by their own the Overhead Costs, Decide Which Seminar is What and What is not Which

Air their CURLY IDEAS

Examples:

WHY: Because their have good, and guilty, connections with BigShots in Ministries, in "France's", or wherever else, Big Research Institutions, Because they "Bring Money"

We have ex-approximate-students who graduated with 5 or 6 who want to be directors, managers, leaders, etc

We have non-theoretical people who wants to be theoreticians, and managers of theory, or of various other research

We have No-Name Nobodies who dictate their Curly Ideas around

They waste the money and destroy the Research

How to recognize an Impostor in Science?

Very Easy! PUT HIM to TALK!

They are always incapable to fight back a criticism Usualy, they do not speak; they are Dummy Variables They are ashamed of their ISI publications!

We have Hordes of Impostors in Romanian Research
As well Internationally
What to do? Nothing! Pay them no Attention! Ignore them!
Make Jokes about Them!
Try to do Good Research! They will get BLUE!

For the Young

Scientfic Education Down, everywhere
Our young "researchers" – Keyboard and BlackBox people!
Do your Scientific Education on your own
If our Institute and Universities do not care
Study only the Greats
Speak up, out and loudly
against the Scientific and Managerial Crimes

The Research Money are Public, *i.e.* it is for you, it is Your Right Do not follow the Managers and do not obey them They spoil you Enroll no team, no "cooperative"

Be assured that Everything is Permissible but has Consequences The Moral World is a Deterministic one

Three Big Problems in the Romanian Research

- 1 Project funding on a Competition Basis
- 2 "Research is a Service" The Law of Accountance and Finance
- 3 Waste of Money Incompetence

The first two can quite easily be removed

The third, Simple Solution: Oppose them the Opinion of the Good Professionals; Publicly, by Many, preferrably in organized form of Spontaneous, ad-hoc, not necessarily registrered, Associations